

SECOND ORDER THETA DIVISORS ON PRYMS

E. IZADI

The Schottky problem is the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for a principally polarized abelian variety (*ppav*) to be a product of jacobians of smooth curves.

Let (P, Ξ) be a ppav of dimension $p \geq 4$ with Ξ a symmetric theta divisor on P . The elements of $\Gamma = H^0(P, 2\Xi)$ are symmetric, hence their multiplicities at the origin are always even. Let $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ be the subvector space of Γ of sections with multiplicity at least 2 at the origin and let $\Gamma_{00} \subset \Gamma$ be the subvector space of sections with multiplicity at least 4 at the origin. Also let $|2\Xi|_{00} \subset |2\Xi|_0 \subset |2\Xi|$ be the linear systems of divisors of zeros of elements of $\Gamma_{00} \subset \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ respectively. It is well-known that the dimensions of Γ, Γ_0 and Γ_{00} are respectively $2^p, 2^p - 1$ and $2^p - 1 - \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ (for this last we need (P, Ξ) to be indecomposable, see [Ig] page 188 Lemma 11 and [GG] Proposition 1.1 page 618).

We have the linear map

$$\tau_4 : \Gamma_{00} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}T_0P, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}T_0P}(4))$$

defined by sending a section $s \in \Gamma_{00}$ to the quartic term of its Taylor expansion at the origin. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ denote the linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}T_0P}(4)|$ which is the projectivization of the image of τ_4 . Let V_{00} and $V_{inf,00}$ denote the base loci of $|2\Xi|_{00}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ respectively. In [GG], van Geemen and van der Geer proposed a characterization of the locus of jacobians, made more precise by Donagi ([Do1] page 110), in the following form:

- Conjecture 1.** 1. *If $(P, \Xi) = (JC, \Theta)$ is the jacobian of a smooth curve C of genus g , then V_{00} is set-theoretically equal to the reduced surface $C - C := \{\mathcal{O}_C(s - t) : s, t \in C\}$.*
 2. *If (P, Ξ) is not in the closure $\mathcal{J}_g$ of the locus of jacobians in the moduli space of ppav of dimension g , then $V_{00} = \{0\}$ set-theoretically.*

Beauville and Debarre proposed an infinitesimal version of Conjecture 1 ([BD]):

- Conjecture 2.** 1. *If $(P, \Xi) = (JC, \Theta)$ is the jacobian of a smooth curve C of genus g , then $V_{inf,00}$ is, set-theoretically, the canonical image κC of C in $|\omega_C|^* = \mathbb{P}T_0JC$ where ω_C is the dualizing sheaf of C (note that κC is the projectivized tangent cone to $C - C$ at 0).*
 2. *If (P, Ξ) is not in $\mathcal{J}_g$, then $V_{inf,00}$ is empty.*

The first parts of Conjectures 1 and 2 have been proved (each with one well-determined exception) by Welters [W1], the author [Iz1] and Beauville and Debarre [BD]. In [Iz1], we also determined the scheme-structures of the base loci for jacobians. In [Iz2], Theorem 4 page 95, we proved the second parts of Conjectures 1 and 2 in the case $p = 4$. Beauville, Debarre, Donagi and van der Geer proved part 2 of Conjecture 1 for intermediate jacobians of cubic threefolds, the Prym varieties of “even” étale double covers of smooth plane curves (see [BDDG]), and Beauville and Debarre proved parts 2 of Conjectures 1 and 2 for certain ppav isogenous to a product of p elliptic curves (see [BD] pages 35-38). By semi-continuity, one deduces from this last result that for a *general* ppav V_{00} is finite and $V_{inf,00}$ is empty.

Let $\mathcal{A}_p$ be the moduli space of ppav of dimension p . In [Iz2], we proved the second parts of Conjectures 1 and 2 for $p = 4$ by using the fact (proved in [Iz2], Theorem 3.3 page 111) that an element of $\mathcal{A}_4 \setminus \mathcal{J}_4$ is always the Prym variety of an étale double cover of a *smooth* curve of genus 5. From now on we will suppose that (P, Ξ) is the Prym variety of an étale double cover of smooth

curves $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ with C non-hyperelliptic of genus $g = p + 1$. Then (P, Ξ) is indecomposable, i.e., it is not the product of two positive-dimensional ppav (see [M2] page 344, Theorem(d)). There is a natural analogue of the surface $C - C$ for a Prym variety, namely, the reduced surface

$$\Sigma := \Sigma(\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C) := \{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(s + t - \sigma s - \sigma t) : s, t \in \tilde{C}\} \subset P \subset J\tilde{C},$$

where σ is the involution of the cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. Let $\epsilon : \tilde{P} \rightarrow P$ be the blow up of P at 0 with exceptional divisor $\mathcal{E}$ and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the proper transform of Σ in $\tilde{P}$. Let L be the linear system $|\epsilon^*(2\Xi) - 4\mathcal{E}|$ on $\tilde{P}$. When $g = 5$ there is an involution λ acting on the moduli space of admissible double covers of stable curves of genus 5 such that a double cover $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ and $\lambda(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)$ have the same Prym variety (see [Do2] page 100 and [Iz2] pages 119 and 126). Furthermore, for any fixed $(P, \Xi) \in \mathcal{A}_4 \setminus \mathcal{J}_4$, there is an étale double cover $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ of a smooth curve C such that $(\tilde{C}_\lambda \rightarrow C_\lambda) := \lambda(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)$ is also an étale double cover of a smooth curve C_λ (see [Iz2] page 136). In such a case, put $\Sigma_\lambda := \Sigma(\tilde{C}_\lambda \rightarrow C_\lambda)$ and let $\tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda$ be the proper transform of Σ_λ in $\tilde{P}$. With these hypotheses, we proved in [Iz2] that (recall $g - 1 = p = 4$)

- there is exactly a pencil of elements of L containing $\tilde{\Sigma}$ (see [Iz2], 5.7 page 134 and 6.23 page 148),
- the base locus of this pencil is equal to $\tilde{\Sigma} \cup \tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda$ as a set, as a scheme if $(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C) \neq (\tilde{C}_\lambda \rightarrow C_\lambda)$ (follows from [Iz2], 5.7 page 134),
- the base locus of the restriction $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is empty ([Iz2] pages 139 and 146-147).

We generalize this third result to higher dimensional Prym varieties and give bounds for the dimension of the sublinear system of L consisting of elements containing $\tilde{\Sigma}$:

In Section 4 we prove

Theorem 3. *If C is neither trigonal nor hyperelliptic of genus ≥ 5 , then the base locus of $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is empty.*

For C trigonal, the Prym (P, Ξ) is a jacobian and the support of the base locus of $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is easily determined (see Proposition 4.2 and Remark 4.3 below).

To prove the Theorem, we use divisors in the linear system $|2\Xi|_{00}$ which are obtained as intersections with $P \subset J\tilde{C}$ of translates of the theta divisor $\tilde{\Theta}$ of $J\tilde{C}$ (see Section 3 below). To our knowledge such divisors have not been used before in the literature.

The Prym-canonical curve χC is the image of C in $|\omega_C \otimes \alpha|^*$ by the natural morphism $C \rightarrow |\omega_C \otimes \alpha|^*$ where α is the square-trivial invertible sheaf associated to the double cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. Under the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{P}T_0 P \cong |\omega_C \otimes \alpha|^*$, the curve χC is the tangent cone to Σ at 0. Therefore the Theorem implies

Corollary 4. *Suppose that C is neither trigonal nor hyperelliptic, then*

1. *the only base point of $|2\Xi|_{00}$ on Σ is 0,*
2. *the linear system $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ has no base points on χC .*

Our approach leads us to consider the vector space $\Gamma'_{00} := \{s \in \Gamma_{00} : s|_{\Sigma} = 0\}$ with projectivization $|2\Xi|'_{00} := \{D \in |2\Xi|_{00} : D \supset \Sigma\}$. Then $|2\Xi|'_{00}$ can be identified with the sublinear system of elements of L containing $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ be the linear subsystem of $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ consisting of quartic tangent cones at 0 to elements of $|2\Xi|'_{00}$. On a Prym variety, second order theta divisors which contain Σ can be thought of as natural generalizations of 2Θ -divisors on JC containing $C - C$. It is well-known (see [F] Theorem 2.5 page 120 and [GG] page 265 or [W1] Proposition 4.8 page 18) that, on a jacobian (JC, Θ) , a 2Θ -divisor contains $C - C$ if and only if it has multiplicity at least 4 at

the origin. This does not generalize to Prym varieties: Corollary 4 implies that $|2\Xi|'_{00}$ and $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ are proper linear subsystems of $|2\Xi|_{00}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ respectively. More precisely, we prove (see Section 4):

Proposition 5. *Suppose C non-hyperelliptic. The dimension of Γ'_{00} is at most $2^p - 4 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$ and at least $2^p - 2 - p(p-1)$. The codimension of $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ is at least 2.*

Note that when $p = 4$, we have another proof of the result of [Iz2] saying that the dimension of $|2\Xi|'_{00}$ is 1. We pose

Conjecture 6. *Suppose that (P, Ξ) is not a jacobian. Then*

1. *the base locus V'_{00} of $|2\Xi|'_{00}$ is Σ as a set if $p \geq 6$,*
2. *the base locus $V'_{inf,00}$ of $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ is χC as a set if $p \geq 6$.*

By Corollary 4, this conjecture implies the second parts of Conjectures 1 and 2 for Prym varieties. We have the following evidence for this conjecture:

Results of Welters and Debarre ([W2] and [De], see Section 1 below) imply

Proposition 7. *The base locus V'_{00} is the set-theoretical union of Σ and, possibly, some curves and points for a general Prym variety of dimension $p \geq 16$.*

Combined with Corollary 4 this implies:

Corollary 8. *If (P, Ξ) is a general Prym variety of dimension ≥ 16 , then V_{00} has dimension ≤ 1 .*

Results of Debarre imply (see Section 1)

Proposition 9. *For a general Prym variety of dimension $p \geq 8$, the base locus $V'_{inf,00}$ is set-theoretically equal to χC .*

Combined with Corollary 4 this implies (see Section 1 below)

Corollary 10. *Part 2 of Conjecture 2 is true for general Prym varieties of dimension $p = g-1 \geq 8$.*

We explain why we make Conjecture 6 only for $p \geq 6$ and only set-theoretically. Let $\mathcal{R}_g$ be the space parametrizing étale double covers $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ where C is a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g = p + 1$. The Prym map is the morphism $\mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ which to a double cover $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ associates its Prym variety. Recall (see above) that in case $p = 4$, we proved in [Iz2] (5.7 page 134) that $V'_{00} = \Sigma \cup \Sigma_\lambda$. Since the Prym map is generically injective for $g \geq 7$ (see [FS]), we expect that $V'_{00} = \Sigma$ as sets. Now an argument analogous to [Iz1] (2.9) page 196 shows that, if $V'_{00} = \Sigma$ as sets, then V'_{00} is not reduced at 0 and hence is not equal to Σ as a scheme. If the morphism $\rho : \tilde{C}^{(2)} \rightarrow \Sigma$, where $\tilde{C}^{(2)}$ is the second symmetric power of $\tilde{C}$, is birational, then, by analogy with the case of jacobians (see [Iz1]), we can expect V'_{00} to be reduced at the generic point of Σ . If, on the other hand, the morphism ρ is not birational, then, by a standard semi-continuity argument, the scheme V'_{00} is not reduced anywhere on Σ . Note that, using a refinement of a theorem of Martens by Mumford ([ACGH] Theorem 5.2 page 193), one can easily see that if $\tilde{C}$ is neither bielliptic, trigonal, nor a smooth plane quintic, then ρ is birational. Similarly, we can expect $V'_{inf,00}$ to be equal to χC as schemes if $C \cong \chi C$ but $V'_{inf,00}$ will not be equal to χC as a scheme if the morphism $C \rightarrow \chi C$ is not (at least) birational.

On the other hand, Donagi and Smith proved (see [DS]) that the generic fibers of the Prym map have cardinality 27 when $g = 6$ and Donagi proved (see [Do2] Theorem 4.1 page 90) that the Galois group of the Prym map is isomorphic to the Galois group of the 27 lines on a cubic surface. So there could be nontrivial automorphisms acting in the fibers of the Prym map. If there is an automorphism $\mu : \mathcal{R}_6 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_6$ acting in the fibers of the Prym map, then one would expect V'_{00} to be the union of $\Sigma = \Sigma(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)$ and the surfaces $\Sigma(\mu(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C)), \Sigma(\mu^2(\tilde{C} \rightarrow C))$, etc.

NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

All varieties and schemes are over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

For any section s of an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on a variety X , denote by $Z(s)$ the divisor of zeros of s . Let $h^i(X, \mathcal{L})$ denote the dimension of $H^i(X, \mathcal{L})$.

For a divisor D on C (resp. $\tilde{C}$), denote by $\langle D \rangle$ the span of D in the canonical space of C (resp. $\tilde{C}$).

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover of a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve C of genus g . Let α be the point of order 2 in $\text{Pic}^0 C$ associated to the double cover π so that we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \alpha$. Choose an element β of $\text{Pic}^0 C$ such that $\beta^{\otimes 2} = \alpha$ and a theta-characteristic κ on C such that $h^0(C, \kappa)$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*(\kappa \otimes \beta))$ are even. Symmetric principal polarizations on $J\tilde{C} = \text{Pic}^0 \tilde{C}$ and $JC = \text{Pic}^0 C$ can be defined as the reduced divisors

$$\tilde{\Theta} := \{D \in \text{Pic}^0 \tilde{C} : h^0(\tilde{C}, D \otimes \pi^*(\kappa \otimes \beta)) > 0\}$$

$$\Theta := \{D \in \text{Pic}^0 C : h^0(C, D \otimes \kappa) > 0\}.$$

With these definitions, the inverse image of $\tilde{\Theta}$ by the morphism $\pi^* : JC \rightarrow J\tilde{C}$ is the divisor $\Theta_\beta + \Theta_{\beta^{-1}}$ where Θ_β is the translate of Θ by β . The Prym variety (P, Ξ) of the double cover $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is defined by the reduced varieties

$$P := \{E \in \text{Pic}^0 \tilde{C} : Nm(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_C, h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \pi^*(\kappa \otimes \beta)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\},$$

$$\Xi := \{E \in P : h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \pi^*(\kappa \otimes \beta)) > 0\}$$

where $Nm : \text{Pic}^0 \tilde{C} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 C$ is the Norm map (see [M2] pages 331-333 and 340-342). As divisors we have $2\Xi = P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}$. Also define

$$P' := \{E \in \text{Pic}^{2g-2} \tilde{C} : Nm(E) \cong \omega_C, h^0(\tilde{C}, E) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$$

and

$$\Xi' := \{E \in P' : h^0(\tilde{C}, E) > 0\}.$$

For any $E \in P'$, put

$$\Xi_E := \{D \in P : D \otimes E \in \Xi'\}.$$

Since $Nm(E) \cong \omega_C$, we have $\omega_{\tilde{C}} \otimes E^{-1} \cong \sigma^* E$. By the theorem of the square, the divisor $\Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ is in the linear system $|2\Xi|$ and, by Wirtinger Duality (see [M2] pages 335-336), such divisors span $|2\Xi|$. Furthermore, if $E \in \Xi'$, then $\Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ is in $|2\Xi|_0$ and such divisors span $|2\Xi|_0$ (by Wirtinger duality, the span of such divisors is the span of $\phi(\Xi)$ where $\phi : P \rightarrow |2\Xi|^*$ is the natural morphism, this span is a hyperplane in $|2\Xi|^*$ which can therefore be identified with $|2\Xi|_0$ by Wirtinger duality, also see [W1] page 18).

We now explain how Proposition 7 follows from results of Welters and Debarre and how Proposition 9 follows from results of Debarre. In this paragraph only, suppose that (P, Ξ) is a *general* Prym variety. Then E is an element of the singular locus $\text{Sing}(\Xi')$ of Ξ' if and only if $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) \geq 4$ ([W2] page 168). Therefore, for every $E \in \text{Sing}(\Xi')$ and $(p, q) \in \tilde{C}^2$, we have $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p + q - \sigma p - \sigma q)) > 0$. Hence $\Sigma \subset \Xi_E$ and $\Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ is in $|2\Xi|'_{00}$. Since Ξ is symmetric, the tangent cones at 0 to Ξ_E and $\Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ are equal. It follows from these facts and the irreducibility of $\text{Sing}(\Xi')$ for $p \geq 6$ (see [De] Théorème 1.1 page 114) that V'_{00} is set-theoretically contained in $\cap_{E \in \text{Sing}(\Xi')} \Xi_E$ and $V'_{inf,00}$ is set-theoretically contained in the intersection of the tangent cones at 0 to Ξ_E for E a point of multiplicity 2 on Ξ' . This latter can be rephrased as: $V'_{inf,00}$ is set-theoretically contained in the intersection of the tangent cones to Ξ' at its points of multiplicity

2. It is proved in [W2] (Theorem 2.6 page 169) that, for $p \geq 16$, the intersection $\cap_{E \in \text{Sing}(\Xi')} \Xi_E$ is the set-theoretical union of Σ and, possibly, some curves and points. This proves Proposition 7. By [De] Théorème 1.1 page 114, the tangent cones to Ξ' at its points of multiplicity 2 generate the space of quadrics containing χC for $p \geq 6$ and, for $p \geq 8$ (see [De] Corollaire 2.3 page 129, also see [L] and [LS]), the Prym-canonical curve χC is cut out by quadrics. This proves Proposition 9.

2. PULL-BACKS OF DIVISORS TO $\tilde{C}^2$

Let $\rho : \tilde{C}^2 \rightarrow P \subset J\tilde{C}$ be the morphism

$$\rho : (s, t) \longmapsto [s, t] := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(s + t - \sigma s - \sigma t)$$

so that Σ is the image of $\tilde{C}^2$ by ρ . The morphism ρ lifts to a finite morphism $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{C}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma} \subset \tilde{P}$. We have

Lemma 2.1. *For any $E \in \Xi'$ such that $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) = 2$ and $|E|$ contains reduced divisors, the inverse image of Ξ_E in $\tilde{C}^2$ is the divisor*

$$\rho^* \Xi_E = D_{\sigma^* E} + \Delta'$$

where D_E is the reduced divisor

$$D_E := \{(p, q) : h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p - q)) > 0\}$$

and Δ' is the “pseudo-diagonal” of $\tilde{C}^2$, i.e., the reduced curve $\Delta' := \{(p, \sigma p) \in \tilde{C}^2\} = \rho^{-1}(0)$.

Proof : We have the equality of sets

$$\rho^* \Xi_E = \{(p, q) : E \otimes [p, q] \in \Xi'\} = \{(p, q) : h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes [p, q]) > 0\}.$$

First suppose that $|E|$ has no base points. By [M1] (page 187, Step II and its proof), for any $p \in \tilde{C}$, we have $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)) = h^0(\tilde{C}, E) - 1$ if σp is not a base point of $|E|$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)) = h^0(\tilde{C}, E) + 1$ if σp is a base point of $|E|$. Since $|E|$ has no base points and $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) = 2$, we have $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)) = 1$ for all $p \in \tilde{C}$. Similarly, $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes [p, q]) = h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)) - 1 = 0$ if σq is not a base point of $|E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)|$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes [p, q]) = h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)) + 1 = 2$ if σq is a base point of $|E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)|$. Let $D_{\sigma p}$ be the unique divisor of $|E|$ containing σp , then $D_{\sigma p} + p - \sigma p$ is the unique element of $|E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)|$. So, to obtain $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes [p, q]) > 0$, we need σq to be a base point of $|E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p - \sigma p)|$, i.e., the point σq appears in $D_{\sigma p} + p - \sigma p$. Therefore, either $\sigma q = p$ or $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-\sigma p - \sigma q)) > 0$. So the support of $\rho^* \Xi_E$ is $D_{\sigma^* E} \cup \Delta'$.

An easy degree computation on the fibers of $\tilde{C}^2$ over $\tilde{C}$ by the two projections shows then that $\rho^* \Xi_E = D_{\sigma^* E} + \Delta'$ as divisors.

If $|E|$ has base points, then its base points are distinct because $|E|$ contains reduced divisors. Then, by [GH] page 287, the cohomology class of $D_{\sigma^* E}$ is the same as in the case where $|E|$ has no base points. Hence, since $\rho^* \Xi_E = D_{\sigma^* E} + \Delta'$ as divisors in the case where $|E|$ has no base points, we see that $\rho^* \Xi_E - \Delta'$ and $D_{\sigma^* E}$ have the same cohomology class. Since the support of $D_{\sigma^* E}$ is clearly contained in the support of $\rho^* \Xi_E - \Delta'$ and these are both effective divisors, they are equal. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Suppose that E as above can be written as $E = \pi^* M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(B)$ where B is the base divisor of E and M is an invertible sheaf on C with $h^0(C, M) = 2$. Then $D_{\sigma^* E} = \Delta' + D'$ for some effective divisor D' . Therefore $\rho^* \Xi_E = D' + 2\Delta'$ which agrees with the fact that in such a case $E \in \text{Sing}(\Xi')$ so that Ξ_E is singular at 0 (see [M2] pages 342-343).

Let $\Delta \subset \tilde{C}^2$ be the diagonal, let $p_i : \tilde{C}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the projection onto the i -th factor and let $\omega_{\tilde{C}^2}$ be the canonical sheaf of $\tilde{C}^2$. Then $\omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \cong p_1^* \omega_{\tilde{C}} \otimes p_2^* \omega_{\tilde{C}}$ and we have Künneth's isomorphism $H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2}) \cong H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})^{\otimes 2}$. Let $I_2(\tilde{C}) \subset S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})^{\otimes 2} = H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2})$ be the vector space of quadratic forms vanishing on the canonical image $\kappa \tilde{C}$ of $\tilde{C}$. Fix an embedding $H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2})$ obtained by multiplication by a nonzero global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(2\Delta)$ (note that $h^0(\tilde{C}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(2\Delta)) = 1$ because Δ has negative self-intersection, hence any two such embeddings differ by multiplication by a constant). Then it is easily seen that

$$I_2(\tilde{C}) = S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}) \cap H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2}).$$

Similarly fix embeddings $H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta - 2\Delta')) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta'))$. For $E \in \Xi'$ such that $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) = 2$, the linear system $|E|$ has no base points and $E \not\cong \sigma^* E$, it is well-known (see, e.g., [ACGH] page 261) that $q_E := \cup_{D \in |E|} \langle D \rangle = \cup_{D \in |\sigma^* E|} \langle D \rangle$ is a quadric of rank 4 whose rulings cut the divisors of $|E|$ and $|\sigma^* E|$ on $\tilde{C}$. We need the following

Lemma 2.3. 1. *We have*

$$\rho^* \mathcal{O}_P(2\Xi) \cong \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta'),$$

$$\rho^* \Gamma_0 \subset I_2(\tilde{C}) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta')),$$

and

$$\rho^* \Gamma_{00} \subset I_2(\tilde{C}) \cap H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta - 2\Delta')) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta')).$$

2. *For any $s \in \Gamma_0$, let $q(s)$ be the quadric in $|\omega_{\tilde{C}}|^*$ with equation $\rho^* s \in I_2(\tilde{C})$. Then, in $\tilde{C}^2$, the zero locus of $\rho^* s \in H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta'))$ is*

$$Z(\rho^* s) = Z_{q(s)} + 2\Delta'$$

where $Z_{q(s)}$ is a divisor with support

$$\{(p, q) \in \tilde{C}^2 : \langle p + q \rangle \subset q(s)\}.$$

If $s \in \Gamma_0$ is general, then $Z_{q(s)}$ is reduced. If $Z(s) = \Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ for some $E \in \Xi'$ such that $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) = 2$, then $q(s) = q_E := \cup_{D \in |E|} \langle D \rangle$.

3. *If $s \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma_{00}$, then*

$$q(s) \cap (\mathbb{P}T_0 P = |\omega_C \otimes \alpha|^*) \subset \mathbb{P}T_0 J\tilde{C} = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}|^*$$

is the projectivized tangent cone $\tau_{Z(s)}$ to $Z(s) \subset P$ at 0.

4. *For any $s \in \Gamma_0$, the multiplicity of $\rho^* s$ at the generic point of Δ' is even ≥ 2 and if $\rho^* s$ vanishes on Δ' with multiplicity ≥ 4 , then either $s \in \Gamma_{00}$ or $\tau_{Z(s)}$ contains the Prym-canonical curve χC .*

Proof : 1. Let E be an invertible sheaf of degree $2g - 2$ on $\tilde{C}$ such that $h^0(\tilde{C}, E) = 2$ and $|E|$ has no base points. Let s_1 and s_2 be two general sections of E . Then

$$s_1 \otimes s_2 - s_2 \otimes s_1 \in \Lambda^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, E) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}, E)^{\otimes 2}$$

and, as in the the proof of Lemma 2.1, it is easily seen that $Z(s_1 \otimes s_2 - s_2 \otimes s_1) = D_E + \Delta$.

Now, supposing that $E \otimes \sigma^* E \cong \omega_{\tilde{C}}$, from the natural map

$$\psi_E : H^0(\tilde{C}, E) \otimes H^0(\tilde{C}, \sigma^* E) \longrightarrow H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})$$

we obtain the map

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\tilde{C}, E)^{\otimes 2} \otimes H^0(\tilde{C}, \sigma^* E)^{\otimes 2} &\longrightarrow H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})^{\otimes 2} \cong H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2}) \\ t_1 \otimes t_2 \otimes \sigma^* u_1 \otimes \sigma^* u_2 &\longmapsto \psi_E(t_1 \otimes \sigma^* u_1) \otimes \psi_E(t_2 \otimes \sigma^* u_2) \end{aligned}$$

which induces the map

$$\phi_E : \Lambda^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, E) \otimes \Lambda^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \sigma^* E) \longrightarrow S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})$$

Put

$$t := (s_1 \otimes s_2 - s_2 \otimes s_1) \otimes (\sigma^* s_1 \otimes \sigma^* s_2 - \sigma^* s_2 \otimes \sigma^* s_1)$$

then $Z(\phi_E(t))$ is in the linear system $|\omega_{\tilde{C}^2}|$ and is equal to $D_E + \Delta + D_{\sigma^* E} + \Delta$. Hence $D_E + D_{\sigma^* E}$ is in the linear system $|\omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)|$.

If $s \in \Gamma_0$ is such that $Z(s) = \Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$, then, by Lemma 2.1, we have $Z(\rho^* s) = D_E + D_{\sigma^* E} + 2\Delta'$. So $Z(\rho^* s) - 2\Delta' = Z(\phi_E(t)) - 2\Delta$ and $\rho^* \mathcal{O}_P(2\Xi) \cong \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta + 2\Delta')$. Since $Z(\rho^* s) - 2\Delta' = Z(\phi_E(t)) - 2\Delta$, the section $\rho^* s$ of $\rho^* \mathcal{O}_P(2\Xi) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta') \cong \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta)$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $\phi_E(t) \in I_2(\tilde{C}) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}^2}(-2\Delta))$. Since such s generate Γ_0 , this proves that $\rho^* \Gamma_0 \subset I_2(\tilde{C})$. The rest of part 1 easily follows now.

2. Let s and E be as above and suppose furthermore that $E \not\cong \sigma^* E$. With the above notation, let X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 be the images of, respectively,

$$s_1 \otimes \sigma^* s_1, s_2 \otimes \sigma^* s_1, s_1 \otimes \sigma^* s_2, s_2 \otimes \sigma^* s_2$$

by the map ψ_E . Then $\phi_E(t) = X_1 X_4 - X_2 X_3$. By, e.g. [ACGH] page 261, the polynomial $X_1 X_4 - X_2 X_3$ is an equation for q_E . Therefore, since $\rho^* s$ is a constant nonzero multiple of $\phi_E(t)$, we have $q(s) = q_E$. By continuity, for all s' such that $Z(s') = \Xi_M + \Xi_{\sigma^* M}$ for some $M \in \Xi'$ with $h^0(\tilde{C}, M) = 2$, we have $q(s') = q_M$.

A line is in q_E if and only if it is in a linear space of one of the two rulings. Therefore a secant $\langle p + q \rangle$ to $\kappa \tilde{C}$ is in q_E if and only if $h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p - q)) > 0$ or $h^0(\tilde{C}, \sigma^* E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p - q)) > 0$. Hence

$$D_E + D_{\sigma^* E} = \{(p, q) : \langle p + q \rangle \subset q_E\} = Z_{q_E} = Z_{q(s)}$$

and $Z_{q(s)}$ is a reduced divisor in this case. Hence $Z_{q(s)}$ is reduced for general $s \in \Gamma_0$. Now the rest of part 2 follows by linearity.

3. When E is a smooth point of Ξ' , the intersection $q_E \cap \mathbb{P}T_0 P = 2\mathbb{P}T_E \Xi'$ is the projectivized tangent cone at 0 to $\Xi_E + \Xi_{\sigma^* E}$ (see [M2] pages 342-343). Hence 3 also follows by linearity.

4. This immediately follows from the facts that $\rho^* s \in I_2(\tilde{C}) \subset S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}) \subset H^0(\tilde{C}^2, \omega_{\tilde{C}^2})$ and that χC is the tangent cone at 0 to Σ .

□

3. MORE DIVISORS IN $|2\Xi|_{00}$

For any $M \in \text{Pic}^{g-1} C$, let $\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}$ be the translate of $\tilde{\Theta}$ by $\pi^*(\kappa \otimes \beta \otimes M^{-1})$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M} := \{E \in \text{Pic}^{2g-2} \tilde{C} : h^0(\tilde{C}, E \otimes \pi^* M) > 0\}.$$

We have

Proposition 3.1. *The divisor $P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}$ is in the linear system $|2\Xi|$. It is in $|2\Xi|_0$ if $h^0(C, M)$ is positive.*

Proof : We first prove that all the divisors $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ are linearly equivalent as M varies in $Pic^{g-1}C$. Let $\psi : JC \rightarrow Pic^0P$ be the morphism of abelian varieties which sends $M \otimes \kappa^{-1} \otimes \beta^{-1}$ to $\mathcal{O}_P(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M} - P.\tilde{\Theta}) \in Pic^0P$. For C general, the abelian variety JC is simple, hence, since its dimension is not equal to the dimension of Pic^0P , the morphism ψ is trivial, i.e., its image is $\mathcal{O}_P$. By continuity, this is the case for all C . Therefore, since $P.\tilde{\Theta} = 2\Xi$, all the divisors $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ are linearly equivalent to 2Ξ . The second assertion is now immediate. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *The divisor $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ is an element of $|2\Xi|_{00}$ if $h^0(C, M) \geq 2$.*

Proof : We prove the Proposition in the case where $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M) = 2$ and it will follow in all cases by semi-continuity. By, e.g. [ACGH] page 261, the tangent cone to $\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ at 0 is the quadric $q_{\pi^*M} := \cup_{\delta \in |\pi^*M|} \langle \delta \rangle$ in $|\omega_{\tilde{C}}|^* = \mathbb{P}T_0J\tilde{C}$. Let π also denote the projection $\mathbb{P}T_0J\tilde{C} = |\omega_{\tilde{C}}|^* \rightarrow |\omega_C|^* = \mathbb{P}T_0JC$ with center $|\omega_C \otimes \alpha|^* = \mathbb{P}T_0P$. Since $q_{\pi^*M} = \pi^*(q_M) = \pi^*(\cup_{\delta \in |M|} \langle \delta \rangle)$, we see that q_{π^*M} contains $\mathbb{P}T_0P \subset \mathbb{P}T_0J\tilde{C}$ and the multiplicity of $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ at 0 is at least 3. Since this multiplicity is even, we have $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M} \in |2\Xi|_{00}$. $\square$

4. THE BASE LOCUS OF $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$

In this section we prove Proposition 5 and Theorem 3. Recall that we have assumed C to be non-hyperelliptic. We have

Proposition 4.1. *If $M \in Pic^{g-1}C$ is such that $h^0(C, M) = h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M) = 2$ and $|M|$ and $|\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}|$ contain reduced divisors, then*

$$\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) = D_M + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}} + 4\Delta'$$

where D_M is the reduced divisor with support

$$\{(p, q) : h^0(C, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\pi p - \pi q)) > 0\}.$$

Proof : First note that $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ does not contain Σ . Indeed, for general points p and q in $\tilde{C}$, we have $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p+q)) = 2$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-\sigma p - \sigma q)) = 0$. Therefore p and q are base points for $|\pi^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p+q)|$ and $h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p+q - \sigma p - \sigma q)) = 0$.

Now note that $\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta'$ is effective because, by Proposition 3.2, the divisor $P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}$ has multiplicity at least 4 at the origin. Then, using Lemma 2.3, Proposition 3.1 and [GH] page 287, one easily computes that $\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta'$ and $D_M + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}}$ have the same cohomology class. It is therefore sufficient to prove that the support of $\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta'$ contains the support of $D_M + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}}$. By definition the support of $\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M})$ is the set

$$\{(p, q) : h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(p+q - \sigma p - \sigma q)) > 0\}$$

which, by Riemann-Roch, Serre Duality and the isomorphism $\omega_{\tilde{C}} \cong \pi^*\omega_C$, is equal to the set

$$\{(p, q) : h^0(\tilde{C}, \pi^*(\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(\sigma p + \sigma q - p - q)) > 0\}.$$

Therefore the support of $\rho^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M})$ contains the union of Δ' and the sets

$$\{(p, q) : h^0(C, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\pi p - \pi q)) > 0\}$$

and

$$\{(p, q) : h^0(C, \omega_C \otimes M^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\pi p - \pi q)) > 0\}.$$

$\square$

The following implies Theorem 3

Proposition 4.2. *The inverse image by $\tilde{\rho}$ of the support of the base locus of $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is contained in the set of elements (p, q) of $\tilde{C}^2$ such that $\langle \pi p + \pi q \rangle$ is contained in the intersection of the quadrics containing the canonical curve κC . In particular, if C is not trigonal, then the base locus of L does not intersect $\tilde{\Sigma}$.*

Proof : Let W_{g-1}^1 be the subvariety of $\text{Pic}^{g-1}C$ parametrizing invertible sheaves with $h^0(C, M) = 2$. By Proposition 3.2 the base locus of $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is contained in $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \left(\bigcap_{M \in W_{g-1}^1} \epsilon_*^{-1}(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}) \right)$ where $\epsilon_*^{-1}(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}) = \epsilon^*(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}) - 4\mathcal{E}$. We have $\tilde{\rho}^*(\epsilon_*^{-1}(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M})) = \rho^*(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}) - 4\Delta'$. It immediately follows from Proposition 4.1 that the inverse image $\tilde{\rho}^* \left(\bigcap_{M \in W_{g-1}^1} \epsilon_*^{-1}(P \cdot \tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^* M}) \right)$ is supported on the set of elements (p, q) of $\tilde{C}^2$ such that $\langle \pi p + \pi q \rangle$ is contained in q_M for all $M \in W_{g-1}^1$. Since the quadrics of the form q_M generate $|I_2(C)|$ (see [G] and [SV]) and the base locus of $|I_2(C)|$ in the canonical space $|\omega_C|^*$ does not contain any secants to κC for C non-trigonal (see [ACGH] page 124), the proposition follows. $\square$

Remark 4.3. *For C trigonal, (P, Ξ) is the jacobian of a curve, say X . Then, using the set-theoretical equalities $V_{00} = X - X$ and $V_{\text{inf},00} = \kappa X$, one can show that the inverse image by $\tilde{\rho}$ of the support of the base locus of $L|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is equal to the set of $(p, q) \in \tilde{C}^2$ such that $\langle \pi p + \pi q \rangle$ is contained in the intersection of the quadrics containing the canonical curve κC .*

Let

$$\tau_2 : \Gamma_0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}T_0 P, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P}(2))$$

be the map which to $s \in \Gamma_0$ associates the quadric term of its Taylor expansion at 0. Then τ_2 is onto because its kernel is Γ_{00} which has dimension $2^p - 1 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) = \dim(\Gamma_0) - h^0(\mathbb{P}T_0 P, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P}(2))$. We have

Lemma 4.4. *For $s \in \Gamma_0$,*

$$s \in \Gamma_{00} \iff q(s) \supset \mathbb{P}T_0 P.$$

Proof : By Lemma 2.3 part 3 and with the notation there, if $s \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma_{00}$, then $\tau_{Z(s)} = q(s) \cap \mathbb{P}T_0 P$. So the projectivizations of the two maps τ_2 and $s \mapsto (\rho^* s)|_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P} \in I_2(\tilde{C})|_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P}$ are equal. Hence, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that, for every $s \in \Gamma_0$, we have $\lambda \tau_2(s) = (\rho^* s)|_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P}$. So

$$s \in \Gamma_{00} \iff \tau_2(s) = 0 \iff (\rho^* s)|_{\mathbb{P}T_0 P} = 0 \iff q(s) \supset \mathbb{P}T_0 P.$$

$\square$

Let $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)$ be the subvector space of $I_2(\tilde{C})$ consisting of elements which vanish on $\mathbb{P}T_0 P$. By the above lemma and because all elements of Γ_0 are even, the map ρ^* sends Γ_{00} into the subspace $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)^+$ of σ -invariant elements of $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)$. We have

Lemma 4.5. *The subspace $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)^+$ is equal to $I_2(C)^{\pi^*} \subset I_2(\tilde{C})$.*

Proof : The σ -invariant and σ -anti-invariant parts of $H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})$ are, respectively, $H^0(C, \omega_C)$ and $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \alpha)$. Therefore in the decomposition

$$S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}}) = S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C) \oplus H^0(C, \omega_C) \otimes H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \alpha) \oplus S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \alpha)$$

the space $S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C) \oplus S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \alpha)$ is the σ -invariant part of $S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})$. So $S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C)$ is the subspace of σ -invariant elements of $S^2 H^0(\tilde{C}, \omega_{\tilde{C}})$ which vanish on $\mathbb{P}T_0 P$. Therefore $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)^+$ is contained in $S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C)$ and $I_2(\tilde{C}, \alpha)^+$ is the subspace of elements of $S^2 H^0(C, \omega_C)$ which vanish on $\kappa \tilde{C}$. This is precisely $I_2(C)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *The dimension of Γ'_{00} is at least $2^p - 2 - p^2 + p$ and at most $2^p - 1 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - 3 = 2^p - 4 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$. The codimension of $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ is at least 2.*

Proof : By Lemma 4.5, we have $\Gamma'_{00} = \text{Ker}(\rho^* : \Gamma_{00} \rightarrow I_2(C) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} I_2(\tilde{C}))$. Hence the dimension of Γ'_{00} is at least $\dim(\Gamma_{00}) - \dim(I_2(C)) = 2^p - 1 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - \frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3) = 2^p - 1 - \frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p-2) = 2^p - 2 - p^2 + p$.

To prove the upper bound for the dimension of Γ'_{00} , we prove that the dimension of $\rho^*\Gamma_{00}$ is at least 3. For C non-trigonal, this is an immediate consequence of the result of Proposition 4.2 which says that $\tilde{\rho}^*L$ has no base points and the fact that $\tilde{\rho}^*L$ has positive self-intersection number: this number is easily computed using Lemma 2.1 and the calculation of the cohomology class of D_E on page 287 of [GH].

Now suppose C trigonal. The linear system $\tilde{\rho}^*L$ contains the divisors $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta'$ for $M \in W_{g-1}^1$. Since $g \geq 5$, the curve C has a unique linear system of degree 3 and dimension 1 and we denote the associated invertible sheaf of degree 3 by M_0 . Choose three general effective divisors N, N' and N'' of degree $g-4$ on C and put $M = M_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(N)$, $M' = M_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(N')$ and $M'' = M_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(N'')$. Let s, s' and s'' be sections whose divisors of zeros are respectively $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta'$, $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M'}) - 4\Delta'$ and $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M''}) - 4\Delta'$. If a non-trivial linear combination of s, s', s'' is zero, then the coefficients of at least two of s, s', s'' , say s and s' , are non-zero. Then the restrictions of s and s' to $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M''}) - 4\Delta'$ have the same divisors. By Proposition 4.1, we have $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta' = D_M + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}}$. Hence $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta' = D_{M_0} + p_1^*(\pi^*N) + p_2^*(\pi^*N) + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}}$. Similarly, $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M'}) - 4\Delta' = D_{M_0} + p_1^*(\pi^*N') + p_2^*(\pi^*N') + D_{\omega_C \otimes M'^{-1}}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M''}) - 4\Delta' = D_{M_0} + p_1^*(\pi^*N'') + p_2^*(\pi^*N'') + D_{\omega_C \otimes M''^{-1}}$. Let p be a point of $\tilde{C}$ such that πp is a point of N'' . Then $F_p := p_1^*(p) \cong \tilde{C}$ is contained in $\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M''}) - 4\Delta'$. Hence $(\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M}) - 4\Delta')|_{F_p} = (\tilde{\rho}^*(P.\tilde{\Theta}_{\pi^*M'}) - 4\Delta')|_{F_p}$. Equivalently, $(p_2^*(\pi^*N) + D_{\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}})|_{F_p} = (p_2^*(\pi^*N') + D_{\omega_C \otimes M'^{-1}})|_{F_p}$. Since N, N', N'' are general, there are unique divisors, say G and G' in, respectively, $|\omega_C \otimes M^{-1}|$ and $|\omega_C \otimes M'^{-1}|$ containing πp . Then, after identifying F_p with $\tilde{C}$, the previous equality of divisors becomes $\pi^*N + \pi^*G - p - \sigma p = \pi^*N' + \pi^*G' - p - \sigma p$. Since N' is general and G depends only on N and N'' , this is not possible. Hence there are no non-trivial linear relations between s, s', s'' and $\tilde{\rho}^*L$ is at least a net.

In the non-trigonal case, the codimension of $\mathcal{Q}'_{00}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{00}$ is at least 2 because the restriction of $\tilde{\rho}^*L$ to Δ' has positive degree and no base points, therefore it is at least a pencil. In the trigonal case, use divisors as above to show that $(\tilde{\rho}^*L)|_{\Delta'}$ contains at least two distinct divisors. $\square$

Remark 4.7. *In case $p = 4$, the above gives a second proof of the result of [Iz2] (page 148) saying that the dimension of Γ'_{00} is 2.*

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1985.
- [BD] A. Beauville and O. Debarre, *Sur les fonctions thêta du second ordre*, Arithmetic of Complex Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1399, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1989, pp. 27–39.
- [BDDG] A. Beauville, O. Debarre, R. Donagi, and G. van der Geer, *Sur les fonctions thêta d'ordre deux et les singularités du diviseur thêta*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris **307** (1988), 481–484.
- [De] O. Debarre, *Sur le problème de Torelli pour les variétés de Prym*, American Journal of Math. **111** (1989), 111–134.
- [Do1] R. Donagi, *The Schottky problem*, Theory of Moduli, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1337, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 84–137.
- [Do2] ———, *The fibers of the Prym map*, Curves, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties (Amherst, MA 1990), Contemp. Math., vol. 136, American Mathematical Society, 1992, pp. 55–125.

- [DS] R. Donagi and R. Smith, *The structure of the Prym map*, Acta Math. **146** (1981), 25–102.
- [F] J. D. Fay, *On the even-order vanishing of Jacobian theta functions*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), no. 1, 109–132.
- [FS] R. Friedman and R. Smith, *The generic Torelli theorem for the Prym map*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 3, 473–490.
- [G] M. L. Green, *Quadrics of rank four in the ideal of a canonical curve*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), no. 1, 85–104.
- [GH] P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [Ig] J. I. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1972.
- [Iz1] E. Izadi, *Fonctions thêta du second ordre sur la jacobienne d’une courbe lisse*, Math. Annalen **289** (1991), no. 2, 189–202.
- [Iz2] ———, *The geometric structure of $\mathcal{A}_4$, the structure of the Prym map, double solids and Γ_{00} -divisors*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **462** (1995), 93–158.
- [LS] H. Lange and E. Sernesi, *Quadrics containing a Prym-canonical curve*, Journal of Alg. Geom. **5** (1996), no. 2, 387–399.
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld (rédigé par O. Debarre), *Intersection des quadriques contenant une courbe lisse*, preprint EURO-PROJ.
- [M1] D. Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **4** (1971), no. 4, 181–192.
- [M2] ———, *Prym varieties I*, Contributions to Analysis (L.V. Ahlfors, I. Kra, B. Maskit, and L. Nirenberg, eds.), Academic Press, 1974, pp. 325–350.
- [SV] R. Smith and R. Varley, *Deformations of theta divisors and the rank 4 quadrics problem*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), no. 3, 367–398.
- [GG] B. van Geemen and G. van der Geer, *Kummer varieties and the moduli spaces of abelian varieties*, American J. of Math. **108** (1986), 615–642.
- [W1] G. E. Welters, *The surface $C - C$ on jacobian varieties and second order theta functions*, Acta Mathematica **157** (1986), 1–22.
- [W2] ———, *Recovering the curve data from a general prym variety*, Amer. J Math. **109** (1987), no. 1, 165–182.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOYD GRADUATE STUDIES RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA,
ATHENS, GA 30602-7403, USA

E-mail address: izadi@math.uga.edu